

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,695		11/04/2003	Yoichiro Yamashita	1131-0491P	5835
2292	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH &	MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1731	
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/699,695	YAMASHITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ne 2006						
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1,6,11,16 and 17</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,11,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	olootion nonvinonont						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori							
application from the International Bureau		od III dilo National Otago					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	ed					
	or the defining depicts flot recent	ou.					
	,						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							
	_ · · _ · · _						

Application/Control Number: 10/699,695

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,6,11 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneki et al (US. Pat. No. 6,568,402) in view of Aronoff et al (US. Pat. No. 5,107,866).

Kaneki et al discloses nearly all that is recited in the claim since it teaches a filter assembly having two cylindrical filter elements arranged, in tandem, each of said elements including a filter material, 41, 42 – filter 41 being a plain filter, and filter 42 being a plain filter having activated carbon particles added thereto (corresponding to the claimed "charcoal filter"); wrapping material wound around each filter material element, 43, 44 (corresponding to the claimed "wrapping paper"); and wrapper paper, 12 (corresponding to the claimed "forming paper"), wound around the wrapping materials of the two filter elements to connect the two to each other. While there may be no specific discussion of adhesive provided between the wrapping material and the filter, and between the wrapping material and wrapping paper, it follows that one would have applied said adhesive in such manner as to secure the wrapping to the filter elements in order to secure the elements together, as such is well-known – as evidenced by the Aronoff et al reference – which discloses that the adhesive material can be applied in a

Application/Control Number: 10/699,695

Art Unit: 1731

spiral manner (corresponding to the claimed "loop pattern") – if desired, or in a banded patter, see Fig. 3 (corresponding to the claimed "plurality of adhesive-applied parts arranged at intervals in an axial direction of the filter element"). Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have also applied the same adhesive between the two wrapping materials in order to further secure same to ensure the filter elements will be sufficiently positioned during smoking.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on June 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that the Aronoff reference does not disclose a loop pattern, as evidenced by Fig. 3 cited by the Examiner. The Examiner, however, disagrees. First, a typographical error was noted in the previous Office Action which referred to Fig. 3, when the intent was to refer to Fig. 2 which shows that adhesive 17 is applied in "banded" fashion. But, in col. 3, line 10, it is clear that Aronoff envisions the adhesive spray being applied in a "spiral" design (corresponding to the claimed "loops").

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Dionne Walls Mayes **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1731

Application/Control Number: 10/699,695

Art Unit: 1731

September 8, 2006

Page 5